

NITED STATES DEPARTMENT O

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVE	NTOR	AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
	09/274,49	98 03/28/9	9 CHEN		J	N0.33
Г	_		IM22/1006	· ¬	EX	AMINER
	JOHN Y CHEN		IMEEZIOOO	•	SANDERS,K	
		STAD BLVD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	PAPILIPH	CA 94044			1714	91
					DATE MAILED:	10/05/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



f |

Application No. **09/274,498**

Applicant(s)

Chen

Office Action Summary Examiner

Kriellion A. Sanders

Group Art Unit 1714



	TAKKI INI, TRIKANILI
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19	for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is se is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failu application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extendig CFR 1.136(a).	ire to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
	is/are withdrawn from consideration
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)	
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
⊠ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav	ving Review, PTO-948.
The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies	
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial N	Number)
\square received in this national stage application from t	the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pri	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	r No(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO □	-948
□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION O	ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES



Application/Control Number: 09/274,498

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Chen, 708 or 468.

Each of the references disclose gelatinous elastomer compositions comprising resins based on polyethylene and polystyrene and additionally containing a plasticizer. No patentable difference is readily ascertained between patented and present inventions. Selection of preferred parameters such as selection of ratios of components would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time of applicant's invention absent a clear showing of unexpected results. Because the

Art Unit: 1714

components of the patented invention are essentially the same as those of applicant's invention, they would be expected to possess the same properties.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to K. Sanders whose telephone number is (703) 308-2435.

ks

September 29, 1999

KRIELLION SANDERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER